



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

O TO COLOR VIN SWAY		www napto kov		
		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/940,247	98/27/2001	Takamasa Ito	NEC 2360	6115
175 Canal Stre	& HAGE, P.C.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Manchester, N	IH 03101		1765	3
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/940.247	ITO, TAKAMASA
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		l an Vinh	1765
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
Danie d for	Panly		
A SHC THE N - Exten after 5 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL size is of time may be available under the provisions of SIX is. MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will elicitely evidence by the Office later than three months after dispose of the period of the period of the Provision of	A TTOIN. 37 CFR 1.136(a) In no event, however, may ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) N	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ANAMIS from the mailing date of this communication. ARAMIONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>27 August 2001</u> .	
1)⊡ 2a)□	TIMAL 2t	ວງ⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Disposit	Sance this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practicition of Claims	e unuel Ex purto quay	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
i	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement	ī.
Applica	tion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	L. H Eveminer
10)	The drowing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
		ection to the drawing(s) be held in :	abeyance. See or Criticipality
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed	d on is: a) 🔲 approved b	on disapproved by the chairmen
i	If approved, corrected drawings are rec	quired in reply to this Office action.	
12)] The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		a a c 440(a) (d) ar (f)
13)[⊻	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. 9 T19(a)-(a) or (i).
	a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * ○) ☐ None of:		
ļ.	□ Cortified copies of the priority	documents have been received	d.
i	o To contified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	d in Application No. 09/940,247
	The state office copies	of the priority documents have	been received in this National Stage 2(a)).
	* See the attached detailed Office action	for domestic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
		nguago provisional application	has been received.
15)	a) $igsqcup$ The translation of the foreign la $igsqcup$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 t	J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachn		4)	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
o, □ .	iotice of References Cited (F.F892) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) N	otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/940.247

Art Unit 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 8/27/2001 has been considered. The PTO form 1499 is enclosed in this office action.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because in box S2 of fig. 5, the term "heat treting" appears to be a typographical error, the examiner suggests replacing "heat treting" with -heat treating-. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Suguro (US 6,033,537) in view of Lee et al (US 6,074,960)

Suguro discloses a method for manufacturing a semiconductor device (MOS transistor), the MOS transistor having a self-aligned metal silicide layer 20 on a

Application/Control Number: 09/940.247

Art Unit: 1765

source/drain region 18 and gate electrode 14 formed on a semiconductor substrate 11 (col 10, lines 40-43 :fig. 8E). This method comprises the steps of:

completely covering the substrate 11 with gate electrode 14 with a cobalt film 19 (col 10. lines 22-23; fig. 8D), which reads on depositing a cobalt film over an entire surface of the semiconductor device formed on the semiconductor substrate annealing/heat treating the cobalt film 19 at 500-600° C to form cobalt silicide layer 20 on the gate electrode and the source/drain region 18 (col 10, lines 37-42)

removing/etching away the unreacted cobalt film 19 remaining on the semiconductor substrate using an etching solution containing hydrochloric acid, hydrogen peroxide and water (col 11, lines 13-19)

Unlike the instant claimed invention as per claim 1. Suguro fails to explicitly specify a concentration of hydrochloric acid. hydrogen peroxide and water in the range of 1:1:5 to 3:1:5. a solution temperature of 25-45° C and an etching time of 1-20 minutes.

However, Lee discloses a method for selectively etching against cobalt comprises the step of removing/etching away the unreacted cobalt film using an etching solution containing hydrochloric acid. hydrogen peroxide and water having a concentration of 1:1:5 (col 6, lines 20-45; col 8, lines 40-43), the etching is carried out at a temperature of 20-100° (col 5, lines 63-64), which overlaps the claimed range of 25-45° C. Lee also discloses that the thickness of the cobalt layer is 1000 angstroms (col 3, lines 65-66) and the etching solution etches unreacted cobalt at a rate of 1000angstrom/minute (col 6, lines 8-10), which is interpreted by the examiner as performing the etching step in 1 minute. In addition, Lee also discloses that the etching

Application/Control Number: 09/940,247

Art Unit: 1765

rate of cobalt changes/varies as the concentration of the etching solution changes (col 7. lines 22-42) and the etching rate of cobalt also changes depending on the soaking time/etching time and temperature (col 7. lines 46-48)

Thus. Lee serves as evidence that the concentration of the etchants in the etching solution, the temperature of the etching solution and etching time are so-called "result effective variable". It has been held that the discovery of an optimum value for result effective variables is within the purview of routine experimentation by the person of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 276, 205 USPQ 215, 219 (CCPA 1980)

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Vinh whose telephone number is 703 305-6302. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Benjamin Utech can be reached on 703 308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9310 for regular communications and 703 872-9311 for After Final communications.

LV

January 21, 2003